

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,021	09/15/2000	Kai Yang	D412	1824
22898	7590 05/29/2002			
THE LAW OFFICES OF MIKIO ISHIMARU			EXAMINER	
SUITE A1	VALE-SARATOGA R	OAD	CLARK, SHEILA V	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94087			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/663,021** 

Applicant(s)

Yang et al

Examiner

Sheila V.Clark

Art Unit





The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
<ul> <li>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statucommunication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set.</li> </ul>	37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ Thi	s action is non-final.
• •	nce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-18	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.
10) The drawing(s) filed oni	s/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreit a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	
	ity documents have been received in this National Stage Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom	·
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18} Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/663,021

Art Unit: 2815

. .

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Zhao et al.

Zhao et al shows a first barrier layer 28 disposed in a dielectric layer lining, a conductive layer 29 disposed in said barrier layer and a second barrier layer 34 disposed over said layers and totally enclosing said conductive layer. The material recited in the claims are further taught by Zhao et al ( see col. 8, line 33, col. 4. lines 63-64, col. 10, line 29, col. 8, lines 23).

Claims 7-10, 13-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Zhao et al.

Zhao et al shows a first barrier layer 28 disposed in a dielectric layer lining, a conductive layer 29 disposed in said barrier layer and a second barrier layer 34 disposed over said layers and totally enclosing said conductive layer. The material recited in the claims are further taught by Zhao et al (see col. 8, line 33, col. 4, lines 63-64, col. 10, line 29, col. 8, lines 23). The steps of providing, forming, removing and depositing are deemed to be inherently taught by Zhao et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/663,021

Art Unit: 2815

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al in view of Dubin et al.

The claims from which claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17, 18 depend have been discussed above except for the first and second barrier layer formed of the same materials and of the same thickness.

As Zhao et al fails to limit the barrier layer material to any one particular material but instead teaches that the barrier material may be chosen from a variety of well known barrier material it is therefore suggested that the material of the first and second barrier layers may be the same if desired.

Further as Zhao et al fails to express a particular thickness of the second barrier layer but refers to a particular application for deposition technique which is related to the Dubin et al patent which teaches forming said layer in the range of thickness as those discussed by Zhao et al with regard to the first barrier layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the second barrier layer of the same thickness as the first. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Zhao et al because Zhao et al suggests use of the techniques taught by Dubin et al which would include similar layer thickness of the second layer to that of the first.

Claims 1-18 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/663,021 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

Wagganer, Joshi et al, Nariman et al and Zheng et al are cited to show first diffusion layers having second barrier layers thereon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner S.V. Clark whose telephone number is (703) 308-4924.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee, can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

March 5, 2002

SHEILA V. CLARK